

## Minerals Site Allocations Document - Discussion Paper (10/17/08 to 12/12/08) - Comments

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Martyn Jones
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/777
<b>Date submitted</b>	02/12/2008 21:54
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction at this site and do not believe that it is appropriate because: The site is close to local housing and there will be significant and unacceptable disturbance to local residents by the works. There will be a great loss of public amenity space Cannon Hill wood contains ancient barrows which are designated as heritage sites and which cannot fail to be affected by the proposed works No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic that the proposal would bring to the local, minor roads in the area, Middlehill Road, and side roads local to the site.
<b>Summary</b>	I object to the choice of site on the basis of closeness to local housing, loss of public space, the proximity of heritage sites and the additional traffic that would be brought to local, largely residential roads.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mrs Susan Jones
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/776
<b>Date submitted</b>	02/12/2008 21:25
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: The site would impact considerable and negatively on the existing landscape. Use of this site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland. The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites. No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposal

would bring on to our local roads There would be potential of considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas

**Summary**

I object to the choice of site on the grounds of damage to existing landscape, noise and disturbance to surrounding residential areas and loss of public amenity

---

**Comment by**

Mrs Beryl Herbditch

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/741

**Date submitted**

02/12/2008 15:53

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads I walk in Cannon Hill woods everyday and am appalled to think its natural beauty will be gone forever, and all the chaos it will cause. Dog walkers like myself, horse riders, and the kids will have lost one of the remaining play areas at 82 years of age I wont be here when its finished.

---

**Comment by**

Mrs J Clayton

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/739

**Date submitted**

02/12/2008 15:39

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I am writing to oppose the plans for gravel extraction at Cannon Hill Plantation. I often walk in Cannon Hill woods and also along the river to Canford School. Any loss of such a public amenity space would affect me directly and there would be significant disturbance in both of these areas. My prime concern however is that of additional traffic created by such works. Canford bottom roundabout

is already mayhem and unable to cope with the existing levels of traffic. The 'lovely lines' painted on the road are only appropriate for traffic going directly from Ringwood to Poole or Poole/Ringwood. All other local traffic wishing to cross the A31 is forced to swerve across the road to get into the painted lines and drive around the outside lane of the roundabout. Already in the mornings the queue to get onto the roundabout from Colehill is often backing up as far as the top of Hayes Lane. The A31 crawls at rush hours all the way from the Ferndown Industrial Estate roundabout to at least Merley and this is exactly the space of road that heavy goods vehicles working at either site would be wanting to use. Also Middlehill road is the access road for three local first schools and it is not appropriate to allow heavy goods vehicles on this scale to use it. The local infrastructure is simply not able to cope with the current levels of traffic.

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr J Snook
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/735
<b>Date submitted</b>	02/12/2008 14:55
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Barry Jackson
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/732
<b>Date submitted</b>	02/12/2008 14:31
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate

because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads Pedestrians access to the country north and east of Colehill is entirely dependent on footpaths through Cannon Hill to Uddens, Whitesheet, Ferndown Forest, The Castleman Trail, etc. The area is extensively used by ramblers, dog walkers and casual strollers. The rural peace and tranquillity would be destroyed by the proposal, which would be an environmental disaster.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	J Russell
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/730
<b>Date submitted</b>	02/12/2008 13:51
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Jon Masters
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/696
<b>Date submitted</b>	01/12/2008 23:55
<b>Consultation name</b>	Map AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	Why would the Forestry Commission be involved in destroying a forest ? We do not need an area of local interest, local history, recreation, diverse

wildlife etc. to be destroyed by an industry that is on the wane. Cannon Hill must remain as it is.

**Summary** No to lorries, congestion, pollution and unnecessary destruction

---

**Comment by** Mrs Helen Lynch

**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/695

**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 16:46

**Consultation name** Table AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree

**Comments** This area is alive with wildlife and is heavily used by dog walkers, cyclists, horse riders and most of the local population for various recreational activities. it provides a large, natural play area for the local children who benefit from the surrounding getting well needed and recommended exercise. It will take 15-25 years to extract that much sand from the site and a further 10 years to refill the devastated area (i am assuming as a landfill site which means more lorry misery and we will not even mention the odour and vermin problem). A further 30 years would be needed to let the reforestation to get back to the state it is now. That means the site will be effectively unusable by the populus for about 60 years. I, for sure, will not be around then and my grandchildren will not ever know what it is to live near such a beautiful location and to have such natural resources within easy reach.

**Summary** I strongly disagree on the grounds of noise pollution, carbon footprint increase, deforestation, road congestion and loss of local amenities

---

**Comment by** Mrs Helen Lynch

**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/694

**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 16:43

**Consultation name** Map AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree

**Comments** This area is used by most families and many organisations for recreation and a range of uses - cyclists use its network of tracks to link with the Castleman trailway, walkers and riders enjoy its paths, dogs and young people (and the young at

heart) have adventures in the woods every day!. It is a real asset to Colehill. The area would be hugely poorer as a result. the benefit it brings is difficult to measure in economic terms - it is a wonderful 'public good' of exceptional value to the local community. The 'negative externalities' of the project are great - 1. Increased heavy goods traffic on already congested local roads (eg Middlehill road, where large numbers of children pack the pavements going to and from school - colehill first, St Michaels and QE students) will at least have polluting and road damage effects, but eventually probably clip a child with a wing mirror. A cost I am not willing to bear. 2. Increased congestion on the A31 and the, at best busy, at worst gridlocked canford roundabout. 3. Increased noise and air pollution for local community. I am a Colehill Scout Leader and the Group takes them into the Cannon Hill to play wide games and learn tracking and orienteering skills. There are often many young people there - all keeping out of harm's way, not bothering anyone, not on the streets, just taking advantage of the fresh air and woods. Without the plantation and all it has to offer all the people who use it will be forced elsewhere. That will mean increased use of local heathland (which would proably necessitate a car journey), but more noticeably more 'kids on the streets'. Surely the benefit of this proposed development (so close to the local community) does not make sense.

**Summary**

Traffic, noise, air pollution and the destruction of a local amenity will have huge negaitve effects on the local community as well as causing serious congestion on an arterial raod network already above capacity.

**Comment by**

Mrs E Preston

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/691

**Date submitted**

01/12/2008 16:18

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby

protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** David Webb  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/687  
**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 15:54  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** M. S. Reid  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/685  
**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 15:29  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Cliff Edwards  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/683  
**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 15:25

**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Jean & Barry Watts  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/680  
**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 15:21  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** D. J. Hyde  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/678  
**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 15:15  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space,

potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	R. E. Coulson
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/676
<b>Date submitted</b>	01/12/2008 15:11
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr & Mrs Leonard
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/675
<b>Date submitted</b>	01/12/2008 15:06
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I wish to express my disagreement with the proposal to extract minerals at Cannon Hill a. the area is used extensively as an amenity area and has been so used for the forty years that I and my wife have lived in Colehill. The remove the whole of this area would cause an inordinate amount of disturbance to the hundreds of people who make use of it. b. the proximity of the proposed development to residential properties is, in my view, far too close and would constitute a nuisance in terms of noise and dust as a result of extractions. c. I know there are barrows on the site which I believe are heritage sites

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr & Mrs T A Clegg
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/673

**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 15:00  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** E L Davies  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/671  
**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 14:52  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Miss L. J. Dade  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/669  
**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 14:49  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space,

potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr N. J. Dade  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/667  
**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 14:44  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr & Mrs G. J. Dade  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/664  
**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 14:40  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr & Mrs Colin & Ann Besant  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/662

<b>Date submitted</b>	01/12/2008 14:36
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	<p>I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads I would also like to make additional comment with particular reference to the additional traffic on Middlehill Road. The road is not large enough to cope with more large lorries. When my children were at school at St Michaels' Middle they had a walk to school week. We managed only two days as the exhaust fumes were too bad to walk along the road. Since then the traffic has increased and any further increase in lorry traffic would exacerbate the danger to the children's health. Also there is very little provision for children's safety along Middlehill Road. There are no zebra crossings along the route, only one crossing patrol person right by the school. Large lorries on the school route would greatly increase the danger of serious injury to those children walking to the two first schools and the Middle school.</p>

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr & Mrs D. A. Perry
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/660
<b>Date submitted</b>	01/12/2008 14:35
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	<p>I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the</p>

additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr P Whitchurch  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/658  
**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 14:31  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr Brian Gosling  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/656  
**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 14:25  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr C P Wren  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/653  
**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 14:19  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by**

Mr Adrian Stark

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/646

**Date submitted**

01/12/2008 11:56

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads The noise and pollution would be intolerable living so near

---

**Comment by**

Mr Ian Simpson

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/644

**Date submitted**

01/12/2008 11:52

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby

protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** J Griffin  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/640  
**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 10:43  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mrs Sylvia Butson  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/638  
**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 10:40  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** E Radford  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/636  
**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 10:35

**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mrs J Bodell  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/635  
**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 10:20  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** This would have a serious effect, not only on the lives of people living near the site but also for miles around. This is a much loved nature area where my children and grandchildren have spent many hours of enjoyment learning about nature and to care for it. There are tumuli, numerous wildlife and birdlife and flora. We have already had it split by the bypass and have had to endure the F. C. turning beautiful grassy 'rides' into muddy crevasses. Impossible to walk along. The Sunseeker rally has also to be endured each year. The impact of huge gravel lorries in the area would be intolerable and dangerous. The road system is already struggling. This area is a major recreational site, with the large estates built on the once fields, with further proposed, we cannot even consider this nightmare scenario.

---

**Comment by** Mrs A Simpson  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/633  
**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 10:13  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by**

Rev Michael D. Drury

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/631

**Date submitted**

01/12/2008 10:10

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by**

Mr &amp; Mrs P. J. W. Lodger

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/629

**Date submitted**

01/12/2008 10:06

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the

additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr B Nixon  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/627  
**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 10:00  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mrs S Ridout  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/625  
**Date submitted** 01/12/2008 09:57  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr Peter Stringer  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/624  
**Date submitted** 30/11/2008 18:10  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

Loss of Amenity The use of Cannon Hill Plantation as a sand and gravel site will result in a loss of valuable mixed coniferous, deciduous and heathland amenity used by thousands of local residents for walking and running, children's play, dog walking, horse riding, cycling and bird watching. This area is an important natural escape for many people, with hundreds of users each day enjoying the amenity. Many people having bought homes in the area to be close to this amenity. The site is bordered on two sides by Colehill, with thousands of houses being potentially affected by extraction and movement plant noise. The proposed area is already used extensively by local children for play, being close to many hundreds of adjacent houses, as well established areas of contiguous housing in Colehill, from which Cannon Hill draws many hundreds of amenity users. Noise Impact Noise and disturbance to local residents will impact the "quiet enjoyment" of their homes, and include significant impact on home workers. My property is within 35 metres of the site boundary and will be affected by continuous noise; the proximity of the sand and gravel extraction will have a detrimental impact on the house prices of many homes in the area for many years to come. Bio-diversity and loss of Habitat Cannon Hill Plantation includes not only coniferous woodland but also deciduous woodland and more open heathland areas. These areas are an important habitat for local animals, reptiles and birds and contribute to bio-diversity in an otherwise heavily built up residential area. Cannon Hill is used by Honey Buzzards for soaring and the canopy will undoubtedly be used for perching. It is also used by many other species, with the proximity of the woodland to local open spaces and gardens, further contributing to local bird diversity, which would be impacted by the loss of this amenity. Geo-dynamic impact Extraction from the Cannon Hill Plantation will have an impact on ground water absorption and run-off, and will have a potentially adverse effect on local housing, water courses and drainage. Traffic Impact Light vehicle access to the site via Middlehill Road and Pilford Heath Road in Colehill will add traffic congestion to an already busy route to Colehill First School and also to the school run route to St Michael's Middle School. This area is already very busy during school periods and will make the route to school more unsafe for those children on narrow pavements north of Middlehill Close and those children that cycle to and from school. Heavy vehicle

access via the A31 Ferndown bypass will have a safety impact on the road, with slow moving lorries pulling out onto an already fast route. The local roads around Uddens particularly Uddens Drive, are already unsuitable for heavy vehicles and present an increased safety concern if large numbers of heavy vehicles are to use them. When not fast flowing, the A31 Ferndown By-pass suffers from significant congestion within a couple of miles of Canford Bottom roundabout; the addition of up to 80 extra heavy vehicle movements a day in this area will result in greater gridlock during commuting hours, and to South West holiday traffic, in addition to local traffic.

**Summary**

Use of Cannon Hill Plantation for extraction results in a significant loss of a local amenity enjoyed by hundreds of local residents and will result in an unacceptable and continuous noise levels for thousands of local residents that affects the quiet enjoyment of their homes and the surrounding area. This will also have a detrimental impact on house prices in this area. Heavy traffic will also contribute further to local traffic congestion and accidents within Colehill and also result in further gridlock on the Ferndown Bypass. I strongly object to the use of this site for sand and gravel extraction.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mrs Tessa Jenns
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/623
<b>Date submitted</b>	30/11/2008 17:45
<b>Consultation name</b>	Map AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by. Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space. Many people walk and cycle through the area both during the week and at weekends. There are no other local areas for dog walking within walking distance of Colehill or Pilford Heath. The loss of an important natural habitat and mature woodland. The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites. No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our local roads. Access via Uddens Drive from the A31 is difficult enough in a car which can accelerate quickly. A heavy lorry would not be able to pull out quickly enough leading to an accident blackspot. This section of the A31 is already at

capacity with long queues towards the Canford Bottom roundabout- especially in the summer when it is usually at a standstill on Friday afternoons and most of Saturdays.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Tristram Hobson
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/613
<b>Date submitted</b>	29/11/2008 20:42
<b>Consultation name</b>	Map AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	<p>This area is used by numerous families for recreation for a range of uses - cyclists use its network of tracks to link with the Castleman trailway, walkers enjoy its paths, dogs and young people (and the young at heart) have adventures in the woods every day!. It is a real asset to Colehill. The area would be hugely poorer as a result. the benefit it brings is difficult to measure in economic terms - it is a wonderful 'public good' of exceptional value to the local community. The 'negative externalities' of the project are great - 1. Increased heavy goods traffic on already congested local roads (eg Middlehill road, where large numbers of children pack the pavements going to and from school - colehill first, St Michaels and QE students) will at least have polluting and road damage effects, but eventually probably clip a child with a wing mirror. A cost I am not willing to bear. 2. Increased congestion on the A31 and the, at best busy, at worst gridlocked canford roundabout. 3. Increased noise and air pollution for local community. I have two young boys (10, 8). I take them into the Cannon Hill to go on the dirt jumps with their bikes. There are often young people (usually teenage boys) there - all keeping out of harm's way, not bothering anyone, not on the streets, just taking advantage of the dirt ramps in the woods. Without the plantation and all it has to offer all the people who use it will be forced elsewhere. That will mean increased use of local heathland (which would proabably necessitate a car journey), but more noticeably more 'kids on the streets'. Surely the benefit of this proposed development (so close to the local community) does not make sense.</p>
<b>Summary</b>	<p>Traffic, noise, air pollution and the destruction of a local amenity will have huge negaitve effects on the local community as well as causing serious</p>

congestion on an arterial road network already above capacity.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Colin Howard
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/606
<b>Date submitted</b>	28/11/2008 09:15
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I wish to register my opposition to mineral extraction taking place at Cannon Hill. The roads around this area are totally unsuited for large heavy vehicles such as will need to be used. The councils own statement to me is that these roads are barely acceptable for their own waste disposal vehicles to use when collecting household or recycled waste! The area is one of natural beauty and heavily used for recreation by riders and children which makes the use of heavy haulage vehicles in this area dangerous The noise would affect the population living in ther nearby area. The wildlife would be significantly affected or destroyed altogether.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr M Yarrow
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/586
<b>Date submitted</b>	27/11/2008 16:33
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr G Savill
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/574
<b>Date submitted</b>	27/11/2008 15:11

**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree

**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mrs B A Houlton

**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/566

**Date submitted** 27/11/2008 14:48

**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree

**Comments** Ancient burial grounds, wild animals, reptiles and birds, a haven for so many people that enjoy our countryside in so many different ways i.e. Walkers, naturalists, horse riders, cyclists, children having adventures. Where will they go when this is taken from their doorsteps? Even residents that can no longer use the hill will find the impact of lorries and noise effecting them please do not ruin this wonderful free amenity that we all appreciate.

---

**Comment by** Mr & Mrs V Seymour

**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/565

**Date submitted** 27/11/2008 14:31

**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree

**Comments** This is the last true stronghold in this area of a diverse wildlife. From adders, sand lizards and forest ants to badgers, deer and foxes. Not to mention the flora. Here children learn about nature. They have the freedom to climb trees and build camps. All things children should experience. People can go for walks, disabled people can take their wheelchairs, mums with pushchairs, horse riders,

cyclists and dog walkers all enjoy with harmony. Where will they go? Huge lorries carrying sand etc on our narrow roads a way of life carried on for centuries, will be gone. Please do not do this to us.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Ms J P Sherman
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/564
<b>Date submitted</b>	27/11/2008 14:18
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	This is an area of natural beauty and solitude, one of the last places in this area where, horses, dogs, children and cyclists can enjoy freedom. This area is criss-crossed by bridleways and footpaths that have been there for centuries. The evidence of this are the tumuli. We cannot allow anymore destruction of trees, there are many deciduous not just fir. The traffic noise, pollution and danger on the roads from huge gravel lorries would be terrible. These roads are already unable to cope with the traffic at peak times. Please do not ruin many many people's lives.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mrs B Toyer
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/559
<b>Date submitted</b>	27/11/2008 13:36
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	E Ratcliffe
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/557
<b>Date submitted</b>	27/11/2008 13:31

**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr K Dacombe  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/554  
**Date submitted** 27/11/2008 13:14  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr P Durrant  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/568  
**Date submitted** 27/11/2008 15:04  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space,

potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr & Mrs A Smith
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/546
<b>Date submitted</b>	27/11/2008 12:48
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr & Mrs Stuart & Lesley Katon
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/543
<b>Date submitted</b>	27/11/2008 12:32
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads (particularly Canford Bottom Roundabout and Middle Hill Road - close to schools)

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr P A Owers
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/540

**Date submitted** 27/11/2008 12:21  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** This site has been used by family for walking, cycling etc for over 15 years. It is bad enough having a phone mast. To lose it completely would be most distressing. I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr David R Mitchell  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/537  
**Date submitted** 27/11/2008 12:04  
**Consultation name** Table AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr Paul Jenns  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/535  
**Date submitted** 27/11/2008 11:59  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by**

Mrs Shulah Dawn Dacombe

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/533

**Date submitted**

27/11/2008 11:54

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by**

Mr Peter Finney

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/531

**Date submitted**

27/11/2008 11:50

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

The heavy volumes of traffic generated by this site will add to the already overused A31, vehicles travelling north will try to use unsuitable rural roads to by-pass congestion at Canford Bottom; loss of public amenity space and danger to wildlife

## Minerals Site Allocations Document - Discussion Paper (10/17/08 to 12/12/08) - Comments

<b>Comment by</b>	mrs B Massey
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/529
<b>Date submitted</b>	27/11/2008 11:48
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads We do not want any more heavy traffic round this area.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr R Taylor
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/519
<b>Date submitted</b>	27/11/2008 11:40
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr & Mrs D J Parodine Baker
-------------------	-----------------------------

**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/513  
**Date submitted** 27/11/2008 11:22  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr Faulkner  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/509  
**Date submitted** 27/11/2008 11:12  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads Totally opposed to the extraction of minerals from Cannon Hill area due to traffic and loss of amenities.

---

**Comment by** Mr & Mrs A Worth  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/503  
**Date submitted** 27/11/2008 10:39  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads Continuous work for 12-25 years means a loss of a beautiful woodland amenity for too long a period

---

**Comment by**

Mr Ian Gunn

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/501

**Date submitted**

27/11/2008 10:33

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by**

Ms Lorraine Parnell

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/499

**Date submitted**

27/11/2008 10:27

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected

heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Ms Liz McCormick  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/497  
**Date submitted** 27/11/2008 10:16  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads The land is used extensively by myself and my family for leisure pursuits. It is an extremely valuable asset to the local community and helps with the community spirit as people meet to cycle, run, walk and exercise dogs. It encourages all of us to get out and take exercise which is good for health and keeps the cost of health care down.

---

**Comment by** Mr R Samways  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/492  
**Date submitted** 26/11/2008 15:02  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential area which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposal would bring on to our local roads.

---

**Comment by** Mr Keith & Jean Richards  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/480  
**Date submitted** 26/11/2008 14:23  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential area which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposal would bring on to our local roads.

---

**Comment by** Mr D Turner  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/476  
**Date submitted** 26/11/2008 11:49  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr & Mrs H. E. Ford  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/472  
**Date submitted** 26/11/2008 11:43  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by**

Mrs Sandra Sadler

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/471

**Date submitted**

26/11/2008 11:41

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by**

Mr &amp; Mrs N. J. Crowe

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/469

**Date submitted**

26/11/2008 11:36

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the

additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr & Mrs J Mortimer  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/466  
**Date submitted** 26/11/2008 11:30  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads There are 3 schools in the area, extra traffic would be hazardous to children.

---

**Comment by** Mr Richard Preston  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/463  
**Date submitted** 26/11/2008 11:25  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Andrew & Valerie Radcliffe  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/461  
**Date submitted** 26/11/2008 11:23

**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads Traffic would pass directly in front of Colehill First Schools!

---

**Comment by** Mr Rupert Preston  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/458  
**Date submitted** 26/11/2008 11:20  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr & Mrs N Allen  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/455  
**Date submitted** 26/11/2008 11:08  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the

loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr M J Pope
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/453
<b>Date submitted</b>	26/11/2008 11:03
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr & Mrs Peter Thring
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/451
<b>Date submitted</b>	26/11/2008 11:01
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mrs P Weaver
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/448

<b>Date submitted</b>	26/11/2008 10:59
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Ms Gayle Verdi
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/446
<b>Date submitted</b>	26/11/2008 10:51
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I am the owner of a house in Park Homer Drive, Colehill and have walked extensively in the surrounding area. Having received the news of the major developments in the Colehill area I am writing to express my points of view. Gravel Extraction on Cannon Hill This would seem to be a plan which will lead to the destruction of a popular piece of woodland where dog walkers enjoy walking and where wild life finds habitat. The only compensation for this destruction of natural woodland could be providing funds to replenish the wonderful beech avenue beside Badbury rings. The National Trust have declined to invest in this unique and stunning local feature. Perhaps the quarriers who will benefit from the gravel extraction could show some Corporate Social Responsibility by paying for semi mature trees to fill the gaps. The Travellers' Site at Merley Hall The Travellers need a site with access to facilities to prevent desecration of fields surrounding their encampment as occurred when they set up camp in the Colehill area last year. Merley Hall seems to me to be a good location and I support this plan. Permanent travellers sites can be beneficial. Regional Spatial Strategy housing North of Burt's Lane In the small fields north of Burt's Lane where ancient oak trees and hedgerows

flourish I have seen the greatest number of dragonflies in one area I have ever seen, in addition to flourishing wild flowers and unusual fungi. It is beautiful old countryside which would be destroyed for ever if it were built upon. I am totally against building on the lovely countryside behind the Horn's Public House.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr & Mrs R Samson
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/445
<b>Date submitted</b>	26/11/2008 10:49
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There are 5 schools in Colehill = children, pedestrians, cars, lorries, not to forget pinch points: Middlehill Road, Canford Bottom Roundabout. Results:- ACCIDENTS - NOISE - MORE POLLUTION - I COULD GO ON!!!! There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr & Mrs Anne & David Williams
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/443
<b>Date submitted</b>	26/11/2008 10:45
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the

additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mrs K B Attwill  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/441  
**Date submitted** 26/11/2008 10:41  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Dr Michael Dye  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/438  
**Date submitted** 26/11/2008 10:06  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill This site is a great recreational area and I thought was Forestry Commission land - the idea of digging it up for gravel is bizzare! It's right next to houses - we'd be hearing the working for the next 12 to 25 years? We bought here 'cause of the layout, the schools, the ability to walk into the woods etc. - and we don't drive to our recreational area. And the extra traffic - on A31 and I've read on Middlehill Road too? Madness - this is about to be traffic calmed we're told - so why add more traffic?  
**Summary** Totally against Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill as it is too close to residential land and provides excellent recreational space which we don't have to drive to!

---

**Comment by** Mr David Ashenden  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/439

**Date submitted** 26/11/2008 10:16  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mrs F D Holloway  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/436  
**Date submitted** 26/11/2008 09:40  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr Jonathan Seyfang  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/434  
**Date submitted** 26/11/2008 09:28  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which

are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mrs Sue Jones
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/432
<b>Date submitted</b>	26/11/2008 09:18
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr R C Jones
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/430
<b>Date submitted</b>	26/11/2008 09:08
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mrs G E Vincent
-------------------	-----------------

**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/428  
**Date submitted** 26/11/2008 09:04  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr George Vincent  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/426  
**Date submitted** 26/11/2008 09:00  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr & Mrs N Walden  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/424  
**Date submitted** 26/11/2008 08:51  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise

disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	mr ashley day
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/422
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 18:09
<b>Consultation name</b>	Table AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	<p>I was absolutely amazed while walking in the woods to come across proposals for extracting 2.5 million tonnes of sand from Cannon Hill Pinned to a tree. Upon reading these proposals I wondered what was going through people minds when they considered sending 80-100 lorries a day along the already congested A31, round the gridlocked and already dangerous Canford Bottom Roundabout, up the narrow Middle Hill Road passing through a highly populated area and turning in by Colehill First School to go down an unadopted road and into our lovely plantation to extract the sand. This area is alive with wildlife and is heavily used by dog walkers, c yclists, horse riders and most of the local population for various recreational activities. it provides a large, natural play area for the local children who benefit from the surrounding getting well needed and recommended exercise. It will take 15-25 years to extract that much sand from the site and a further 10 years to refill the devastated area (i am assuming as a landfill site which means more lorry misery and we will not even mention the odour and vermin problem). A further 30 years would be needed to let the reforestation to get back to the state it is now. That means the site will be effectively unusable by the populus for about 60 years. I, for sure, will not be around then and my grandchildren will not ever know what it is to live near such a beautiful location and to have such natural resources within easy reach.</p>
<b>Summary</b>	<p>I strongly disagree on the grounds of noise pollution, carbon footprint increase, deforestation, road congestion and loss of local amenities</p>

---

**Comment by** Mr Laurence Hewitt  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/419  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 16:33  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** The grounds for objection are that the proposals will generate an unacceptable level of additional HGV movements and that unspoilt land, which is at a premium in this area, would be lost as would the potential for the land to be used for recreation and bio-diversity

---

**Comment by** Mr & Mrs M Crundwell  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/417  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 16:27  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr Christopher Stone  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/415  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 16:17  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** Along with many walkers, runners, cyclists, horseriders, I use this area regularly and it would be a great loss to the community I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to

surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr John Cornish
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/413
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 16:11
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roadsI object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Alex Taylor
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/412
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 15:43
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I strongly disapprove with my local County Councils proposal to eradicate and deface the beautiful landscape of Cannon Hill Woods. Which will also have a terrible knock on affect to the local roads

and public safety of the area. Having lived in Colehill for all my life I have used Cannon Hill Woods on a regular basis. And as a child this was mine and my friends place of adventure. I still get a great deal of use and satisfaction from using Cannon Hill Woods to this day. I regularly take my son into Cannon Hill Woods to educate him in the great out-doors! Only a few weeks ago early on a Sunday morning we watched a deer leaping over the heather, this has left such a fantastic lasting impression on my son. I feel that this will be environmentally damaging and terribly sad, as the next generation will not be able to enjoy such a beautiful and diverse landscape. The East Dorset community will suffer environmentally, and financially, as people's properties will be detrimentally affected by losing this landscape and having busier congested roads. No one will benefit from this proposal apart from the excavation company. If you do proceed with this selfish proposal then it will lower our standard of living and overall quality of lifestyle for the whole community. I hope you take my views seriously and do not go ahead with the plans to excavate Cannon Hill Woods.

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Harold Lilley
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/411
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 15:22
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Agree
<b>Comments</b>	I welcome the proposals. I use Dorset in the geographical sense. Since most of the sand and gravel used in Dorset is used in the BH conurbation it is clearly appropriate to extract what is a relatively cheap commodity close to where it is to be used. Extracting close to use reduces the carbon footprint. Since much of Dorset rubbish is also generated in the BH conurbation and is valueless it is clearly appropriate to dump that as close as possible to the conurbation as possible. Dumping close to collection reduces the carbon footprint. The lake adjacent to A31 will be an asset to the area. Since the forest will be reinstated on Cannon Hill public access i.e. dog walkers will be restricted only for a short number of years. At this time Cannon Hill Plantation has very little natural history merit due in part to disturbance; keeping people and dogs off will improve matters. I attended the public meeting at

Colehill Memorial Hall and was appalled at the lack of leadership and NIMBYism.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mrs M. J. Marlow
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/407
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 15:11
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads Popular area for walking with children and dogs would be destroyed Environmentally - we need trees Not more traffic

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Iain Stevenson
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/403
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 15:06
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	Loss of Amenity. This is designated amenity land for the local community and whilst occupied by the Forestry Commission they encourage its use by the local population. The area is extensively and regularly used by local residents for both exercise and general recreation. At any one time walkers, joggers, cyclists, horse riders and dog owners exercising pets may be seen. Local children also play throughout the area and there are known and safe BMX runs and tree swings. Local youth groups (Scouts etc) also use the land, particularly in the summer evenings. The loss would have a considerable affect on the character of this part of Colehill and the quality for life of the residents and users. In all it could be argued that it will adversely affect about half of the 7,000 Parish residents who live in comfortable walking distance of the area plus

many of those who travel to the area from further parts of the Parish and from neighbouring parishes to benefit from this recreational facility. Traffic Access. Access for heavy vehicles will be from Uddens Drive onto the A31 Ferndown bypass. This road is single carriageway and is often extremely congested. Between about 7.30 am and 7.30 pm the road will often experience delays through congestion and stationary traffic is very common. An additional 40 to 80 lorries could result in gridlock for this road and typically it will be impossible for any lorries to turn right from that road during the hours mentioned. Light traffic will use Middlehill Road and pass a local primary school. Already traffic in this area is high and additional traffic at school arrival and departure times will enhance the hazards for children. Noise and pollution is also a factor. This road is also to have speed restriction mechanisms put in place which will serve to make it even less suitable for any form of traffic serving this new proposed site. The majority of traffic will have to negotiate Canford Bottom roundabout. This is already very congested and unsafe for existing road users. Additional, particularly additional heavy traffic will make this even more congested and dangerous. Noise and Pollution. Extraction activities so near to residential land will bring about increased noise and air pollution for local residents. This will result in the diminution of the enjoyment of their land and is totally unacceptable.

**Summary**

In view of the above factors I am formally lodging an objection to the above site being used for mineral extraction.

**Comment by**

Charlotte Wicks

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/400

**Date submitted**

25/11/2008 15:04

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the

additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads Local schools and small children walking along roads already busy with local traffic Loss of dog walking in Cannon Hill woods

---

**Comment by** Mr J W Mills  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/390  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 14:49  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** K Adshead  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/389  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 14:45  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** Thank god that we have someone like Nick King officially objecting to the policies currently being considered by the special committee quite illegally appointed by this unelected government. We refer to: a) the proposal to build additional housing on green belt land, north of Wimborne b) the proposal to allow gypsies to occupy land near Ashington, south of Wimborne c) the proposed extraction of gravel/sand in three areas surrounding Wimborne i.e. west near the A31, south of the River Stour and in Cannon Hill tree plantation. This is no doubt due to the impractical and inequitable decision of the Socialists to build so many houses in this precious green and pleasant land. It just should not be allowed! There are so many alternative sites where existing run-down housing can be renovated and the use of brown sites used and more more speedily

and less expensively. Especially now that the current financial crisis exists with all the expenses needed by the 2012 Olympics etc, and Gordon Brown's borrowing off the IMP and Eurozone. It is just stupid!! I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr & Mrs D Fuller
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/384
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 14:36
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Alan Lord
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/381
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 14:25
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space,

potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mrs P Hammond
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/385
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 14:37
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by. Additional noise due to extraction, grading machines vehicle reversing beepers, site sirens etc. Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads Increase air pollution due to dust, plant & vehicle exhausts movements.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr David Baker
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/379
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 14:16
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr A Mann  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/377  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 14:09  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Patricia Wood  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/375  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 14:00  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Paul Dibben  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/372  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 13:55  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree

<b>Comments</b>	1. Loss of attractive public space for many years 2. Overload of local roads 3. Negative effect on local residential areas 4. Site is of considerable archaeological interest 5. Renovation of site will probably result in a sterile, uninteresting area.
<b>Comment by</b>	Miss A Colton
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/369
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 13:40
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads Loss of recreational space used daily for dog-walking Damage to an area of beauty and wildlife
<b>Comment by</b>	Mr John Newell
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/368
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 13:28
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	Use of site would course the loss of a very important local public amenity space and loss of quality of life. Destruction of established area of outstanding natural beauty Considerable noise disturbance to residential areas in very close proximity Destruction of rare and unique flora and fauna in greenbelt area – including protected heathland Additional impact on already overstretched road system – significant travel time currently experienced. Proposals will all but seize up local roads. Irreversible damage to protected heritage sites Enhanced pollution from dust, extraction and transport Continued “attack” on Cannon Hill over the years – mobile masts etc this plan is likely to drive professional families away from

the area Goes against the Forestry Commissions mission statement Please register our extreme concern regarding this proposal. This proposal will result in significant and unacceptable disturbance to the local community. It will change this area for the worse.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr G R Minards
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/366
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 13:23
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Gavin Courtie
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/364
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 13:17
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr & Mrs Steve & Judy Garrot
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/362

**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 13:11  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr Colin Fionda  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/360  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 13:03  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mrs A Money  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/358  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 12:52  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which

are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr J D Walkden
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/356
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 12:47
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mrs S. A. Grant
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/354
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 12:15
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr A. J. Green
-------------------	----------------

**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/351  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 12:10  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr Simon Goodchild  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/350  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 12:09  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr & Mrs D Tebbutt  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/348  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 12:06  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise

disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr & Mrs Paul & Katie Ramsden
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/346
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 12:04
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Ms Rosalie Brown
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/343
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 12:01
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object strongly to the above proposal on personal and environmental grounds. 1 Danger caused by increased heavy goods traffic on Middlehill Road. a The traffic on Middlehill road is already excessive and many of the vehicles exceed the speed limit. This is why traffic calming is to be implemented. b There are a number of junctions on Middlehill Road which carry much traffic directly from the local primary schools. 2 Noise and Air Pollution a The the residents who live along Middlehill Road from the increased traffic. b. To the many houses that are in the environs of the proposed site 3 Traffic on the A31 and Canford Bottom Roundabout. There

are frequent delays on the above which are bad enough without an increase of traffic caused by slower moving vehicles. 4 Loss of Public Amenity I, like many other people in this area, walk on the Plantation site.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr E Lankester
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/338
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 11:44
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr J Brown
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/339
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 11:47
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object strongly to the above proposal on personal and environmental grounds. 1 Danger caused by increased heavy goods traffic on Middlehill Road. a The traffic on Middlehill road is already excessive and many of the vehicles exceed the speed limit. This is why traffic calming is to be implemented. b There are a number of junctions on Middlehill Road which carry much traffic directly from the local primary schools. 2 Noise and Air Pollution a The the residents who live along Middlehill Road from the increased traffic. b. To the many houses that are in the environs of the proposed site 3 Traffic on the A31 and Canford Bottom Roundabout. There are frequent delays on the above which are bad enough without an increase of traffic caused by slower moving vehicles. 4 Loss of Public Amenity

I, like many other people in this area, walk on the Plantation site.

---

**Comment by** Mr Alistair MacGregor  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/333  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 11:21  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr & Mrs B King  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/331  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 11:14  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr & Mrs Kanolik  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/335  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 11:24  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I am writing to express my concerns about this proposal. I appreciate that you have to consider sites for extraction of minerals and disposal of waste. However, I think that the degree of disturbance to local residents may be unacceptable, particularly because there never seems to be adequate road development to cope with the extra traffic that such schemes engender. The A31 and Middlehill Road are both really busy at peak times already. We also do not want to lose any public amenity space.

---

**Comment by**

Mr & Mrs D E Castle

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/327

**Date submitted**

25/11/2008 11:08

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by**

Mrs Y Blunden

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/326

**Date submitted**

25/11/2008 11:07

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected

heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads There are 3 schools in the area and increased traffic would endanger pupils using roads in the area.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Ms Judith Sinclair
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/322
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 10:59
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Peter Merchant
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/321
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 10:57
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	Why has not the site SU 035-055 been considered? I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Stafford Sinclair
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/319

**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 10:49  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Miss Ann Morson  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/317  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 10:41  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr & Mrs R James  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/315  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 10:36  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding educational and

residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr & Mrs Merrell
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/310
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 10:22
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	Noise and dust will devalue properties in the area. I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Ms Joan Lambert
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/306
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 10:14
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mrs S Browne  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/307  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 10:15  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr T Hargreaves  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/302  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 10:09  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr Nick Barker  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/301  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 10:08  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise

disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr & Mrs Paul & Liz Collins
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/299
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 10:01
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr D Bourne
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/297
<b>Date submitted</b>	25/11/2008 09:28
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads The use of this land as a site would not be in keeping with its surrounding - this is a town not a quarry.

---

**Comment by** Mr Brian Edmondson  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/295  
**Date submitted** 25/11/2008 09:23  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our local roads

---

**Comment by** Mr Jim Harvey  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/293  
**Date submitted** 24/11/2008 21:45  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object strongly to the planned mineral sites at Cannon Hill The site is close to local housing. Cannon hill include Barrow which are Heritage sites. The green belt is likely to be damaged. I have lived in Colehill since 1965 and I feel that this proposals is ridiculous.  
**Summary** I object strongly to the planned mineral sites at Cannon Hill and Wimborne south of the A31

---

**Comment by** Mr & Mrs E Lee  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/290  
**Date submitted** 24/11/2008 17:04  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate

because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mrs E Batchelor
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/288
<b>Date submitted</b>	24/11/2008 16:58
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Miss Jenny Wilkinson
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/287
<b>Date submitted</b>	24/11/2008 16:56
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** J. Dunsmore  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/284  
**Date submitted** 24/11/2008 16:50  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads We don't want this. It will also damage wildlife, take away another much-needed open space and be a danger to children.

---

**Comment by** Mr & Mrs Hardiman  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/282  
**Date submitted** 24/11/2008 16:29  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr J Gough  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/278  
**Date submitted** 24/11/2008 16:19  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree

## Comments

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

## Minerals Site Allocations Document - Discussion Paper (10/17/08 to 12/12/08) - Comments

<b>Comment by</b>	Ms Sue Rayment
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/277
<b>Date submitted</b>	24/11/2008 16:16
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	<p>I am writing to express my horror and strong disagreement to the proposed gravel extraction site at Cannon Hill. This area has long been a place for leisure serving the local community. Children ride their mountain bikes here and make jumps. Local people walk their dogs here and make friends and socialise. Runners train here and many of us cycle here, safely off road and in beautiful surroundings. This area also forms part of the cycle way to Moors Valley. This is an important green community area and very much part of the character of Colehill. Many of us I am sure would not choose to live here if it were not for access to Cannon Hill. The statement that it will be returned to woodland in 15-25 years does not help the people and their children that live here today.</p>

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Miss Stephanie Samson
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/275
<b>Date submitted</b>	24/11/2008 16:13
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	<p>I am disgusted that the above plans are even being proposed. It would destroy our local area as we know it, our village will be badly affected. I can not believe it is being considered, destroying heathland and putting wildlife in both accounts at risk. I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing</p>

additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr & Mrs Baker  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/273  
**Date submitted** 24/11/2008 16:09  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr & Mrs Andrew Short  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/272  
**Date submitted** 24/11/2008 16:06  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I feel I must write to protest against the proposed use of Cannon Hill as a sand pit. I have lived in the area all of my life and have enjoyed walking my dogs and riding my horse across the bridleways there for years. But to change our beautiful woods is unthinkable. What about the noise and dust right on our doorsteps? Will we be able to open our windows in the summer! Also the extra traffic will be awful. It takes me now forty minutes to do a ten minute drive from Ferndown Industrial Estate to home in the summer. How long long will it take me now. The roads are out of date and overcrowded now without all of these lorries and the cars going up our road. Also what about the wildlife in Cannon Hill. I saw two deer and a fox this morning while walking my dog, where will they go. We had thought to retire in peace and quiet in our little village but it

does not look like it now. Where will we walk our dogs and ride our horses, life will not be the same again.

**Summary** Recreational area used for dog walking and horseriding; noise and dust impacts; traffic impacts; impacts on wildlife.

---

**Comment by** Mr Ray Harris-Hawkins

**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/270

**Date submitted** 24/11/2008 15:53

**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree

**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr & Mrs B Todd

**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/268

**Date submitted** 24/11/2008 15:48

**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree

**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr J Batchelor

**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/265  
**Date submitted** 24/11/2008 15:42  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Jo Adams  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/263  
**Date submitted** 24/11/2008 15:39  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr D J Hancock  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/259  
**Date submitted** 24/11/2008 15:35  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise

disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Charles Hughes
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/257
<b>Date submitted</b>	24/11/2008 15:32
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	M. L. Burden
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/254
<b>Date submitted</b>	24/11/2008 15:27
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Miss Connie Samson
-------------------	--------------------

**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/253  
**Date submitted** 24/11/2008 15:27  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads Madness!!!

---

**Comment by** Mr R Rayner  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/250  
**Date submitted** 24/11/2008 15:23  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** Danger to school pupils at Colehill Pilford Heath Road

---

**Comment by** Mr Andrew Samson  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/249  
**Date submitted** 24/11/2008 15:22  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads Access into site via Pilford

Heath Road next to the infant school is a considerable safety risk - people will get hurt

---

**Comment by** Ms Sue Ward  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/247  
**Date submitted** 24/11/2008 15:17  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mr R Rayner  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/246  
**Date submitted** 24/11/2008 15:16  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill  
**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree  
**Comments** I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by** Mrs M. N. Newman  
**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/244  
**Date submitted** 24/11/2008 15:09  
**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by**

Mr & Mrs P Fitt

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/240

**Date submitted**

24/11/2008 14:47

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

**Comment by**

Mr & Mrs J Peters

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/238

**Date submitted**

24/11/2008 14:39

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: Wildlife - Bats roost in the trees behind out back garden, there are also snakes and lizards and nightjars. The plantation is used by people of all ages, dog walkers and horse riders. House in Bridleway back onto Cannon Hill Plantation. The noise from this would be unbearable. There would

be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Stephen Butterworth
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/237
<b>Date submitted</b>	24/11/2008 14:14
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I live at 51 Bridle Way and wish to protest in the strongest possible terms about the proposal for mineral extraction at Cannon Hill. Not only is it wholly inappropriate to site such a business within 25m of housing, but the road infrastructure at Canford Bottom simply can't cope with the additional traffic that would be generated. It would be bizarre in the extreme if the council are on one hand introducing traffic calming on Middle Hill Road whilst at the same time approving a large scale industrial development which will introduce a considerable volume of HGV traffic to the very same road. I trust your department will refuse planning permission.
<b>Summary</b>	Object to mineral extraction at Cannon Hill, on grounds of proximity to housing and traffic impacts

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr John Daniels
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/232
<b>Date submitted</b>	24/11/2008 12:50
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe the site is appropriate because: There would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas which are very close by Use of the site would cause the loss of important local public amenity space, potentially causing additional use of nearby protected heathland The site is adjacent to protected

heritage sites No account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring on to our roads

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Ms Jo Dakin
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/228
<b>Date submitted</b>	24/11/2008 12:02
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mrs Fiona Wilkinson
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/227
<b>Date submitted</b>	24/11/2008 11:49
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	<p>We had thought that the proposals earlier this year to calm the traffic would help re-kindle our enjoyment of living on Middle Hill Road, Colehill, Wimborne. The news that it is possible that mineral extraction could take place so close to this family area, with its resultant noise, pollution and loss of local amenity (from the extraction workings itself and the amount and size of large lorries travelling regularly along our road) is of GREAT concern. The road and local area is currently used (with care) by school children and other vulnerable pedestrians. For a greener environment, we had thought Dorset County Council was encouraging us to walk more, be active and get out into the freshness of our local Dorset countryside. With the current proposal, this will not be possible. Personally, from having looked forward to a peaceful and active retirement, the once rosy prospect of sauntering down into Wimborne will not be possible for our future if this extraction goes ahead. Would YOU want to face the gauntlet of lorries, noise and dust each working day? Where would you suggest we walk to in order to counter the stresses of everyday living, if Cannon Hill is not available to us? If extraction goes ahead in Colehill, we shall have to become full car users and increase further the use of the local roads, thus having greater negative impact on the local environment. Not exactly a winning situation for anyone who already knows the congested state of the A31. Please re-consider these proposals for mineral extraction, and think fully about the consequences of siting a quarry so very close to a</p>

residential neighbourhood so that the residents of Colehill can face a healthier, happier future.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Peter Watkins
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/223
<b>Date submitted</b>	24/11/2008 10:28
<b>Consultation name</b>	Map AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Agree
<b>Comments</b>	Access must stay on A31. Requires large buffer zone between working and residential on south / west sides.
<b>Summary</b>	Access must stay on A31. Requires large buffer zone between working and residential on south / west sides.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mrs Jacki Chipchase
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/219
<b>Date submitted</b>	24/11/2008 09:25
<b>Consultation name</b>	Map AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	I object to the proposals for gravel extraction on this site and do not believe it is appropriate because it is very close to residential areas and the noise disturbance would be considerable and no account is being made of the additional heavy goods traffic the proposals would bring onto the roads. If this site is used a popular and much used recreational area will be lost, to local residents pushing those people into cars to go further afield to find an appropriate area. The site is also close to protected heritage sites.
<b>Summary</b>	Unacceptable use of this area

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mrs Sheila Bourton
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/217
<b>Date submitted</b>	23/11/2008 17:09
<b>Consultation name</b>	Map AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree

**Comments** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill Plantation is completely inappropriate for a Sand & Gravel pit as it is close to existing properties, the area is used for local recreation, wildlife would be disturbed and the noise , dust & pollution would be unacceptable to residents nearby. Extra traffic generated by the works would also be unacceptable on our already congested roads. It would be quite unacceptable to have a sand and gravel extraction site herewhere there are protected heritage sites.

**Summary** MSAD AS02 is too close to existing housing, would cause noise and pollution to the residents; Cannon Hill is a recreational site for the public. Site traffic would cause chaos to our already congested roads.

---

**Comment by** mrs Sheila Bourton

**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/215

**Date submitted** 23/11/2008 15:57

**Consultation name** Table AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree

**Comments** Site reference MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill . This is an area used by local people for recreation. It is close to local housing and if operated would cause noise and disturbance to the existing residents not to mention disturbance to wildlife. The extra traffic it would generate would be intolerable for our already congested roads and we expect that local narrow roads would be affected as well as the already gridlocked A31. Any proposed workings would be in operation for years and we are concerned as to how the site would be returned to its "natural" state. What is "inert fillback"? Is this landfill rubbish?

**Summary** Disagree with this site being proposed due to disturbance to local residents and extra traffic on already congested roads

---

**Comment by** Mr Robert Lambert

**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/212

**Date submitted** 23/11/2008 15:15

**Consultation name** Table AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree

**Comments** I think Mr Barrie Nicholson has summarised most my feelings very well on this proposal . The already heavy traffic around Canford Bottom roundabout and the bypass will inevitably result in traffic (some heavy) being forced onto the very basic surrounding road infrastructure, much of which runs through residential areas. I'd like to add my objection to the negative impact on the heritage sites at Cannon Hill (several barrows).

**Summary** Impact on heritage sites, loss of amenity, increased noise and heavy traffic on already busy single roads (Canford Bottom and the bypass especially). Such large scale mineral extraction should not occur close to residential areas.

---

**Comment by** Mrs Valerie Taylor

**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/207

**Date submitted** 22/11/2008 12:25

**Consultation name** Table AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree

**Comments** Considerable noise disturbance to all surrounding residential areas , loss of public amenity space, walkers will just use other land close by and therefore result in damage to protected habitat nearby. The site is adjacent to protected heritage sites. Additional heavy traffic on already narrow roads causing disturbance to residents and potential danger to all.

**Summary** The site would impact considerably and negatively on existing landscape and extra traffic would overload the existing roads, noise and smells from the site would pollute the local area changing the character of the area forever to the detriment of the residents and wild life.

---

**Comment by** Mr Curtis

**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/206

**Date submitted** 22/11/2008 12:04

**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree

**Comments** The Cannon Hill site (MSAD AS02) is used by locals like myself and my family regularly for recreation -

walking, cycling, running and so on and I strongly oppose the destruction of this beautiful location for the purposes of gravel extraction. Furthermore, given its location, I am concerned that this would impact considerably and negatively on the existing landscape and be an eyesore seen from miles around, given its lofty location. The land here provides an important and increasingly rare piece of green belt that is used and treasured by locals. I am also concerned that the plan does not take sufficient account of the demands put on local roads not designed for the sort of heavy good traffic used if such an abomination were to be agreed to. Furthermore there would be considerable noise disturbance to surrounding residential areas, given the close proximity of this proposal. Kindly remove this ridiculous and poorly thought out proposal from the plans and leave us with our treasured woodland!

**Summary** MSAD 02 Cannon Hill - I would like to see this proposal withdrawn once and for all.

---

**Comment by** Mr Roy Hurst Hurst

**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/205

**Date submitted** 20/11/2008 21:05

**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree

**Comments** I am utterly amazed that this site is even being approached , this area is of outstanding natural beauty that is of great importance to the whole demographic of colehill residence. The suggestion that and area can be extrated within 25 meters of housing is beyond belief. There has been little or no thought put into the additional traffic on an already over utilized road system with no study of the likely impact on the wildlife that exist with the cannon hill plantation. I am aware Cannon Hill is just one area proposed but given the location this must surely be one of the most controversial sites. Please register my extreme concern regarding this proposal and plea that permission is not granted.

**Summary** Far to near residence , no thought for the people who use the area day and night, overstretched road network which cannot handle this additional load.

---

**Comment by** mr tim james

**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/204

<b>Date submitted</b>	20/11/2008 19:25
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	Very Concerned. Living about 50m from Cannon Hill I am worried about the noise and pollution the destruction of this woodland will cause, I am also concerned how this will effect the value of our property. As for the extra traffic, sometimes i have to do a 8 mile detour to get home now what will it be like with 80 lorries in and out every day. I have lived in Wimborne for 44 years and seen it totally ruined, please go elsewhere and leave us alone.

<b>Summary</b>	Not Happy
----------------	-----------

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Barrie Nicholson
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/203
<b>Date submitted</b>	20/11/2008 18:08
<b>Consultation name</b>	Table AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	Cannon Hill plantation is an unusually important leisure location for the residents of Colehill, which generally can be considered a densely populated dormitory-style suburb of Wimborne that has been developed with very little regard for open spaces. Losing this resource, for well over a generation, would be a travesty of planning as it would remove a 'vital' resource for residents that is intrinsic to the community - see a related comment that details the number of visits this woodland receives. Should the council even consider this planning possibility, there are enormous problems related to the closeness of works to housing, and the movements of traffic. All routes northwards from the Uddens exit are completely inadequate for the size of lorries. Lonnen Rd, Colehill Lane, Long Lane (etc) are totally inadequate and to route (or even allow) traffic down them (as would be inevitable if 'north of wimborne development of housing' is approved), would again be an extreme problem. There are similar problems with Canford Bottom roundabout/Middlehill Rd which is subject to ongoing traffic improvement measures. I urge the decision makers to dismiss this application out of hand. It has highly damaging ramifications that will affect the community for over a generation.

<b>Summary</b>	Aggregate extraction at Cannon Hill will irrevocably damage Colehill quality of life
<b>Comment by</b>	Mr David Stewart
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/201
<b>Date submitted</b>	20/11/2008 15:21
<b>Consultation name</b>	Map AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	The loss of Cannon Hill as a public amenity for up to 25 years is unacceptable. East Dorset is becoming massively overdeveloped and this site provides recreational space within walking distance for a significant number of people. Apart from the noise pollution, the rape of Cannon Hill would force yet more people to travel by car to a recreational area, adding more traffic to the area's inadequate roads.
<b>Summary</b>	Unacceptable loss of a local public amenity
<b>Comment by</b>	Ms Eileen Mann
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/200
<b>Date submitted</b>	20/11/2008 14:20
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	It is with great concern that I have viewed the proposal to extract sand and gravel from Cannon Hill woods. This area is close to housing and is a wonderful area for recreation making Colehill a particularly pleasant place to live. Perhaps more alarmingly though is the volume of traffic that already uses the Ferndown bypass leading to increasing congestion and almost standstill traffic particularly on Fridays and during the weekends of the summer months. Should this proposal be granted it would make the main road even more congested? I am aware Cannon Hill is just one area by given the location this must surely be one of the most controversial sites. Please register my extreme concern regarding this proposal and plea that permission is not granted.
<b>Summary</b>	Close to housing and a wonderful area for recreation Traffic and congestion.

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Peter Mann
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/199
<b>Date submitted</b>	20/11/2008 13:58
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	<p>It is with great concern that we hear the proposal to extract sand and gravel from Cannon Hill woods. This area is close to housing and is a wonderful area for recreation making Colehill a particularly pleasant place to live. Perhaps more alarming though is the volume of traffic that already uses the Ferndown bypass leading to increasing congestion and almost standstill traffic particularly on Fridays and during the weekends of the summer months. Should this proposal be granted it would make the traffic just horrible to contemplate. I am aware Cannon Hill is just one area proposed but given the location this must surely be one of the most controversial sites. Please register my extreme concern regarding this proposal and plea that permission is not granted.</p>
<b>Summary</b>	Close to housing and is a wonderful area for recreation Traffic and congestion

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr & Mrs P hallett
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/197
<b>Date submitted</b>	20/11/2008 13:49
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	<p>We can only think of this plan as the destruction of a beautiful woodland area, which needs to be conserved both for the present and future generations, its vital that we have somewhere local like this to admire and recuperate in, from the stresses of urbanisation and keep a balance. Please protect our heritage and lovely county of Dorset.</p>

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Liz Guilmant-Cush
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/191
<b>Date submitted</b>	18/11/2008 20:06
<b>Consultation name</b>	Table AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Observation

**Comments**

I can understand the need for minerals extraction but I am afraid for Colehill if this plan was to go ahead at Cannon Hill. It was only a few months ago when the newly published Colehill Parish Plan, produced by the Parish Council in conjunction with local people, placed huge importance on the plantation for the health and recreation of residents. Cannon Hill plantation is the only recreation area that most residents in Colehill have. What value do we put on people's health? It may not be an area of outstanding natural beauty or of significant historical value but to the people living in Colehill it is worth much more than that. It is our space to feel alive, learn and discover. Take that away and what do you have? The plantation receives an estimated 100,000 visits each year - it certainly is a used resource. We already battle with traffic and noise from the A31, encroaching house building plans and increased air traffic pollution from Bournemouth airport. Please don't take this away. It does appear that there are more objections to this site than most of the others - please listen.

**Summary**

Cannon Hill is a significant contribution to the health and well being of Colehill residents. Please remove this site from future plans.

---

**Comment by**

Councillor M A Cook

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/185

**Date submitted**

18/11/2008 09:43

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Observation

**Comments**

The location of all this sand and gravel site will potentially further increase traffic issues on the A31 between Bere Regis and Ferndown.

---

**Comment by**

Mrs Val Seddon

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/142

**Date submitted**

16/11/2008 17:25

**Consultation name**

Table AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

## Comments

As comparative newcomers to this area we are horrified by this nightmare scenario. Colehill is a delightful area to live in and one that we should all do our utmost to preserve. It is worrying that discussions have been taking place to decide the future of the plantation but we, the residents had not heard of them until a few weeks ago thanks to an article on the front page of The Echo newspaper. Has there been a hidden agenda in operation? We had barely been in the area a few weeks when we residents attended a meeting in the woods with representatives of the Forestry Commission in order to outline our concerns at the tree felling that was taking place at that time. We were assured by the representatives that this was all for the benefit of the area and would allow deciduous trees the space and air to thrive. The results, we were assured, would be an improvement to the forest land and indigenous trees would be encouraged to grow. Yet, It would seem that discussions were already taking place with the view to destroying this well loved area. I understand there are about 7000 residents in Colehill, many of them young families who live on the large estate built on the edge of the Plantation. Many of them within yards of the proposed operations. With a fine Nursery and First school within walking distance, a healthy, interesting area for the children and lovely countryside in which to enjoy the natural world, we residents feel we live in an enviable spot. It would be a wanton act to destroy the beauty, the tranquility and the recreational facilities of this area with the devastation, which these proposals, if accepted, would change irrevocably for many years. Furthermore, there are very few outdoor recreational facilities or amenities in this area but the woodland is enjoyed by groups of all ages and interests. We are dog owners and on our daily walks in the woods meet many of these groups: other dog walkers, runners, horse riders, fungi pickers, cyclists and people who walk in the woods because they love the natural world. We are birdlovers and belong to the RSBP. We have seen nightjars, hawks, jays and hear the owls at dusk. For the above reasons, we emphatically hope this site is not chosen for the extraction of aggregates either now or in the future. Finally, we all know that the roads in the area, particularly the A31 are utterly inadequate for the present normal level of traffic whatever the time of year or the time of day. The holiday season in particular is a nightmare with mile upon mile of nose to tail traffic with all the pollution this creates. The existing roads cannot at the present time handle

the increase of traffic that this proposal would create

**Summary**

Our objections are based on three main areas: the threat to the quality of life for the residents of Colehill, the destruction of the recreational amenities so valued by the residents of Colehill and the inadequacy of the road systems to handle the increased level of traffic on main and minor roads in the area.

---

**Comment by**

Prof Adrian Newton

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/135

**Date submitted**

16/11/2008 16:49

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Disagree

**Comments**

The value of the site for recreation, amenity and landscape vastly outweighs its value for mineral extraction, which will permanently destroy its value to the local community and the general public. The site was originally lowland heathland, and adjoins a National Nature Reserve and SAC (Holt Heath). The mineral extraction plans for this site will undermine the condition of this heathland complex, and compromise its long-term viability, by impacting negatively on the populations of species associated with the heathland, and reducing habitat availability. The area is lowland heathland, an endangered habitat at national and international scales, of internationally recognised conservation value. Although conifers have been planted on the heathland, the heathland could readily be restored by conifer removal, as being widely implemented elsewhere in Dorset. The site would qualify as a SSSI and is adjacent to an NNR. Critically, many of the species associated with the NNR will also be using additional local areas of heathland habitat to maintain their populations, and it is this spatial configuration and connectivity of heathland habitat that these proposals would undermine. Once heathland has been removed from a site, it is very difficult to restore. This is illustrated by the area on nearby Holt Heath, which was a landfill site, and has since been the focus of efforts to restore lowland heathland. These have been entirely unsuccessful, as they have on many other Dorset sites. Any efforts at restoring biodiversity following mineral extraction are very unlikely to adequately compensate from what would be lost as a result of

the extraction, which targets the very substrate on which heathland communities depend. In terms of landscape, the natural landforms currently in place are of high amenity value, which would be irreparably damaged by mineral extraction. The sites are heavily used by people for amenity, which would be severely compromised by mineral extraction activities. It is difficult to envisage any situation by which recreation facilities could be improved by mineral extraction, as the site is currently covered by a well signed, well maintained networked of tracks. If mineral extraction occurs, and the site is converted to landfill, this could pose a health risk to local residents and those using the site for amenity. The site currently has excellent access arrangements. These will be negatively affected by mineral extraction, because of the massive increase in traffic that will result. In other parts of Dorset, mineral extraction has resulted in substantial increases in the volume of traffic, increased road congestion, increased aerial pollution including dust, with associated increased health risks; increased noise pollution; as well as loss of amenity and access to sites. All of these are very likely to occur on the proposed sites. The sites are very close to local schools as well as residential properties, and the impacts on local communities are likely to be extremely negative. It is impossible to imagine how mineral extraction might occur on these sites in a way that does not have negative impacts on local communities. The landscape character will be irretrievably damaged by the mineral extraction proposals. The area is poorly drained at present, and is the source of springlines that affect residential properties in Colehill; these may be significantly affected by the proposals, by the potential increase in flood risk. A major collapse in the sewerage system occurred immediately outside our home this year because of subterranean water flow coming off the hill. There are public rights of way through the site, and there are a number of archaeological sites, which could all be negatively affected by these proposals. It is very difficult to imagine any form of development that would not impact negatively on public amenity and access, which is currently excellent throughout the area. Quality of life of local residents, including health benefits of area for recreation, and potential negative impacts on health and well being caused by mineral extraction and potential subsequent landfill

**Summary** High amenity value of the site, which will be compromised by mineral extraction The sites form part of a heathland complex, connected to an NNR, which would be adversely affected by these proposals Effects on biodiversity will be negative, and potential for recreation is likely to be reduced, both in the short and long term Experience from mineral extraction elsewhere in Dorset indicates that the impacts on local communities are likely to be extremely negative. Landscape character, public rights of way, archaeological features could all be severely damaged by these proposals

---

**Comment by** Mr Bernard Cooke

**Comment ID** MSAD/DP/134

**Date submitted** 14/11/2008 17:19

**Consultation name** Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?** Disagree

**Comments** I too was at the same meeting with Dan Seddon and representatives of the Forestry Commission when they advised us of the plans for Cannon Hill plantation. How they were doing away with the 10 year cycle of harvesting the pine trees to allow the growth of hardwood, to promote wildlife ( they actually introduced young adders 2 years ago to build up what was becoming depleted stocks) and to provide even more recreational facilities.Both Cannon Hill Plantation and Uddens Plantation are used extensively by dog walkers, cyclists (The Castleman Trailway runs through both) horseriders, joggers and hikers all of whom enjoy the peace and tranquility of the area. There is numerous wildlife such as deer, badgers, foxes and many species of birds including the rare nightjar. Cannon Hill has residential development on 2 sides with 4 Schools within 1/2 mile including 1 infant school 100 yards from one of the proposed vehicle accesses. Middlehill road has traffic calming measures planned for the already overcrowded and dangerous road. The A31 is a nightmare at the best of times without 80 lorries a day turning into and out of Uddens Drive.

**Summary** The Cannon Hill AS02 site should be removed from Table 5.1 and the whole document. Please do not destroy our forest !

---

**Comment by** Tracey Collyer

<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/150
<b>Date submitted</b>	17/11/2008 15:51
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	1. This area is very close to a lot of residential housing. 2. Loss of a major recreational area. Children play and cycle in this area extensively, as do walkers, horse-riders and dog-walkers. 3. Access onto A31. Terrible congestion already occurs on the Wimborne and Ferndown by-passes and the extra associated traffic would make it so much worse. 4. Proposed life of operation is 25 years - an incredibly long period of time when this area is out of bounds for residents/visitors.
<b>Summary</b>	Proximity to housing, loss of a major recreational area, traffic impacts and long life of proposed operation.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Stanley J. Hill
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/132
<b>Date submitted</b>	13/11/2008 15:26
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	The proposed access arrangements via Uddens Drive is unsuitable for the estimated large lorries because it is a narrow lane with access to a very busy A31 single carriageway.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Dan Seddon
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/115
<b>Date submitted</b>	12/11/2008 12:11
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	It was at the beginning of this year that the forestry commission invited local residents into Cannon Hill Plantation for a site meeting to talk of their plan to stop commercial logging, allow hardwoods to grow, encourage Dorset wildlife and promote the area as a recreational forest. How did we get from that happy picture to this nightmare ? Cannon Hill

Plantation is used by many hundreds of people every week for dog walking, jogging, bike riding, Cub Scout activities, horse riding, family walks in the fresh air and much more.

**Summary**

Cannon Hill Plantation is of vital importance to the area. Please do not destroy our forest !

---

**Comment by**

Miss Louise Buckland

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/113

**Date submitted**

11/11/2008 22:27

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Observation

**Comments**

I am very worried about this proposal and sincerely hope that it does not go ahead at Canon Hill. I go into the plantation every day as do a huge number of other people, including dog walkers, horse riders and bike riders. A lot of people I see are older or infirm so would find it difficult to go anywhere else which would have a devastating effect on their lives. I have also seen lots of wildlife including squirrels, deer and woodpeckers which would lose their homes. I also have a daughter at Colehill First School and am worried about the danger of increased traffic. As well as this it is important for our children to have somewhere to go to run around and play, get fresh air, climb trees, bike rides, etc. as there is not much else for them to do in Colehill.

**Summary**

Please don't take away our woods. A huge amount of people will be affected by this and will not want it to go ahead.

---

**Comment by**

Mr Roy Price

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/110

**Date submitted**

10/11/2008 20:22

**Consultation name**

Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Observation

**Comments**

I am a local resident to the proposed site and have been a regular user for the past 25 years. This study completely under rates the value of this site as a local amenity for the people of Wimborne, Ferndown and West Moors both for recreation and keeping fit. It has valuable rights of way crossing over it and I

would expect these to be respected at all costs with public access unhindered and made safe. The traffic leaving the site should be required to wash vehicles to avoid road fouling onto Uddens and the A31. The operators should also be required to pay an additional premium to maintain the roads or sections of road subject to such heavy use - why should the local rate payers pay for it. I would expect an improved junction at Uddens Drive to support vehicular traffic (and make the site contractor pay for it!) or require them to use the round about by the Golf Club. The Rise of Cole Hill should be preserved in order to create a suitable boundary to private housing reducing noise and dust pollution: at least 500 meters. This Hill is an essential feature of Cole Hill and should be preserved at all costs. It contains a famous Tumuli. The plan underestimates the ecology of the area. It is a wild life haven in the desert farmlands that surround it. I have seen a wide range of birds including Wood Peckers, Jays, Birds of Prey, also Grey Squirrel, Fox, Badger, Deer. The site has an excellent managed forestry function that grows a great deal of timber that is just as essential as sand and gravel. I am not at all clear what is meant by 'Inert in fill' and am extremely suspicious that it will end up becoming either a licenced tip or even a council tip - after all the council is getting short of such sites. What guarantees are there that the site will be restored to woodland - after all who today will be around in 26 years to make sure it happens. The use of the site has no time limits - 12 years, 26 years who is to say the operator could keep it going as long as they want. There should be a time limit set. There is obviously a great deal of money to be made by the operator in the extraction and later in the in fill required of this land with minimal restoration costs - if they bother. The operators should be required to pay into a local reserve fund as materials are extracted to ensure that funds are available to restore the site in the future e.g. if they fail to do so or go out of business. I fully appreciate that it is not a public area and that our use is of a permissive nature and also that, I like many others, do expect to go the DIY store to get some sand / gravel so it has to come from somewhere.

**Summary**

Cannon Hill Plantation a personal view.

**Comment by**

Mrs Muriel Guilment

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/100

<b>Date submitted</b>	10/11/2008 10:14
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	<p>As you can imagine residents around Cannon Hill are somewhat shocked at this news. Many houses are in the described area - our lives will be shattered by noise and pollution. Our freedom and properties devalued. Who will want to buy a property with a gravel pit at the bottom of the garden. THERE ARE NO OFFICIAL NOTICES POSTED IN THE AREA IN QUESTION. Many people do not have internet to follow it up. The only notification we have seen is a small document in the Parish council "MISTED UP WINDOW" outside the Co-op in Smugglers Lane - Some half mile from the proposed site. (A resident has now posted up a copy obtained from the internet). The document is complicated and not meant for the ordinary person to decipher. There was no mention of this in the Parish Plan held in June 08. Cannon Hill Plantation was said to be the pride and joy of the area. Our beloved woods devastated- we do not have any other open spaces near to Colehill where we can enjoy exercise of various kinds. With regard to access via Cannon Hill road past the school - which incidentally is not Wimborne Minster school, but Colehill nursery and first school. Not long ago there was concern re parking around the school area and traffic in Middlehill Road. Now its proposed -light traffic will pass our back gardens - what is light traffic. Doing this is alienating residents - if this is to go ahead, then roads away from built up areas is the answer. Not into a residential area and school. First its fighting for green belt land, now our woodland. Unfortunately many residents in this area are elderly and cannot protest or even know about this document.</p>

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Mrs Lynnette Payne
<b>Comment ID</b>	MSAD/DP/86
<b>Date submitted</b>	08/11/2008 17:54
<b>Consultation name</b>	Site MSAD AS02 Cannon Hill
<b>Do you generally agree or disagree?</b>	Disagree
<b>Comments</b>	AS02 Cannon Hill site is bordered on 2 sides by residential areas, including the large Bridle Way estate. The majority of the housing would become

virtually unsaleable for 30 years or more. It is the only easily accessible site in Colehill for walking, cycling, playing etc and is used by residents of all ages. There are 3 first schools in the vicinity and Middlehill Road is already waiting for traffic calming measures due to the existing volume of traffic. Access for light traffic would run directly past Colehill 1st School, down an unmade residential lane. The A31 is already choked at almost all times of day, adding this volume of heavy lorries will make residents life even more miserable. Residents, especially children, experience nature first hand in the plantation - deer are regularly seen, snakes and lizards are easy to find in warmer months, trees are climbable and ramps easily constructed for BMX bikes. Dog walkers would have to travel by car to the further plantations of Uddens, (if that is not quarried as well), or Whitesheet, meaning the scores of mums who drop children off and then walk to the forest will be adding to the vehicle numbers. Colehill is already under threat from extensive new housing encroaching on the Green Belt - destroying the plantation would sound the death knell for the village, leaving the area resembling Tower Park and its surrounds.

**Summary**

The Cannon Hill site should be removed from the document - quarrying the Plantation would destroy the area for the majority of residents. Young children will not experience the wonders of nature again, unless they live to be 100 - assuming, of course, the area is replanted and not used for housing, or landfill. This is a residential area with a crowded road infrastructure. The effect on residents and wildlife will be devastating and adversely affect the quality of life for all who live near, or use the plantation.

**Comment by**

Mrs Diana Froud

**Comment ID**

MSAD/DP/31

**Date submitted**

02/11/2008 16:10

**Consultation name**

Table AS02 Cannon Hill

**Do you generally agree or disagree?**

Observation

**Comments**

I live very near this site and know how much it is appreciated for leisure purposes. The displaced dog walkers, horse riders, etc will be forced into cars and other vehicles to sites nearby thus increasing carbon footprint, this apart from the increase caused by the machinery used on site. The roads around

the Cannon Hill entrance are already jammed at busy times, especially at school start and end times as Colehill First School is situated immediately at the proposed entrance to the unadopted entrance road. The added site vehicles would be dangerous for the children as well as residents on already overloaded narrow roads. Queues on the A31 are already legendary, especially in summer, heading west (and sometimes east) so site vehicles would be adding to these, maybe being in jams 30 minutes or more. We hear traffic from the A31 in our garden now so added noise pollution from heavy machinery on site would be very unwelcome. Protected species of wildlife live in the area. We bought our house partly due to its proximity to Cannon Hill and are very unhappy with this proposal. Have Row and Whitesheet been considered as potential sites? They are further away from large residential areas and the roads are less busy.

## **Summary**

Site much used for leisure. Carbon footprint increase from leisure-seekers and heavy machinery. Noise pollution. Roads inadequate and unsuitable for yet more vehicles. Protected wildlife species on site. Too near busy residential area - very unhappy residents! Other sites would be more appropriate.